

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                    | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/700,323   | 09/27/2001      | Takashi Niwa         | S004-4139-PCT           | · 4841           |  |
| 7  | 7590 05/20/2003 |                      |                         |                  |  |
| Bruce L Adams Adams & Wilks 50 Broadway 31st Floor |                 |                      | EXAMINER .              |                  |  |
|  |                 |                      | JACKSON, ANDRE K        |                  |  |
| New York, NY 10004                                 |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |                 |                      | 2856                    | 2856             |  |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| •  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| •  | 09/700,323  | NIWA ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Andre' K. Jackson   | 2856   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the o  | correspondence address   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status | i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin<br>within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day<br>ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 N  | <u>farch 2003</u> .   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi  | s action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.3.4 and 34.40 is/are pending in the   | annliaation   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4 and 24-40</u> is/are pending in the<br>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  | • •   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  | m nom consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  | 6) Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 24-40 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   | ciconon requirement.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept  | ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exai  | miner.   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a  | )-(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>   | have been received.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents  | have been received in Application   | on No  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li> <li>application from the International Burn</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>  | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic   | ·   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov<br>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic   | visional application has been rec   | eived.   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) Notice of Informal F   | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2856

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1,3,4 and 24-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Muramatsu et al.

Regarding claim 1, Muramatsu et al. disclose an "Optical waveguide probe and optical system" that has an optical waveguide (Figure 1), a tip formed at the free end (Figure 1) and a reflecting member at the free end of the waveguide having a generally planar surface for reflecting light propagated from the light input/output end of the waveguide for guiding the reflected light towards the microscopic aperture of the tip (Figure 2f, 30).

Regarding claim 3, Muramatsu et al. discloses where part of the optical waveguide comprises a core (3), and cladding (4) disposed the core (Figure 1).

Regarding claim 4, Muramatsu et al. disclose where the optical waveguide has a first side where the tip is formed and a second side

Art Unit: 2856

opposite to the first side and having a light blocking film (26) disposed on the first side of the optical waveguide and a reflecting film (24) disposed on the second side of the optical waveguide (Figure 8d).

Regarding claim 24, Muramatsu et al. disclose where the reflecting member is a mirror (Column 4).

Regarding claim 25, Muramatsu et al. disclose where the entire mirror is generally planar (Figure 2f).

Regarding claim 26, Muramatsu et al. disclose where the entire mirror is generally planar (Figure 2f).

Regarding claim 27, Muramatsu et al. disclose where the optical waveguide has a first side where the tip is formed and a second side opposite to the first side and having a light blocking film (26) disposed on the first side of the optical waveguide and a reflecting film (24) disposed on the second side of the optical waveguide (Figure 8d).

Regarding claim 28, Muramatsu et al. disclose where the cladding surrounds the core (Figure 1).

Regarding claim 29, Muramatsu et al. disclose where the optical waveguide has a first side where the tip is formed and a second side opposite to the first side and having a light blocking film (26) disposed on the first side of the optical waveguide and a reflecting film (24) disposed on the second side of the optical waveguide (Figure 8d).

Art Unit: 2856

Regarding claim 30, Muramatsu et al. disclose where the core has two sides and the cladding is disposed on one of the two sides of the core (Figure 1).

Regarding claim 31, Muramatsu et al. disclose where the optical waveguide has a first side where the tip is formed and a second side opposite to the first side and having a light blocking film (26) disposed on the first side of the optical waveguide and a reflecting film (24) disposed on the second side of the optical waveguide (Figure 8d).

Regarding claim 32, Muramatsu et al. disclose where the core has two sides and the cladding is disposed on the two sides of the core (Figure 1).

Regarding claim 33, Muramatsu et al. disclose where the optical waveguide has a first side where the tip is formed and a second side opposite to the first side and having a light blocking film (26) disposed on the first side of the optical waveguide and a reflecting film (24) disposed on the second side of the optical waveguide (Figure 8d).

Regarding claim 34, Muramatsu et al. disclose an optical waveguide (Figure 1), a tip formed at the free end (Figure 1), the tip having a microscopic aperture (Figure 1) and a reflecting member at the free end of the waveguide having a generally planar surface for reflecting light propagated by the optical waveguide and for guiding the reflected

Art Unit: 2856

light towards the microscopic aperture to generate near-field light at the microscopic aperture (Figure 2f, 30).

Regarding claim 35, Muramatsu et al. disclose where the optical waveguide has a first side where the tip is formed and a second side opposite to the first side and having a light blocking film (26) disposed on the first side of the optical waveguide and a reflecting film (24) disposed on the second side of the optical waveguide (Figure 8d).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 36,37 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muramatsu et al.

Regarding claim 36, Muramatsu et al. disclose a reflecting member and a reflecting film. To make the reflecting member part of the reflecting film would have been well within the purview of the skilled artisan since this modification would cause the system to have fewer components.

Regarding claim 37, Muramatsu et al. disclose an optical waveguide with a longitudinal axis, a first section extending in a direction

Art Unit: 2856

generally parallel to the longitudinal axis, and a second section extending from the first section relative to the longitudinal axis so that the light reflected by the reflecting member is guided towards the microscopic aperture to generate near field light at the microscopic aperture.

Muramatsu et al. does not disclose an angle. However, there has to be a pre-selected angle in order to have the light propagate correctly through the aperture.

Regarding claim 40, Muramatsu et al. disclose an optical waveguide (Figure 1), a tip formed at the free end (Figure 1) with a microscopic aperture (Figure 2f, 30) and a reflecting film disposed on at least a portion of the waveguide, the reflecting film having a generally planar surface disposed proximate the free end of the waveguide (Figure 8d) relative to the longitudinal axis for reflecting light propagated by the waveguide and for guiding the reflected light towards the microscopic aperture to generate near field light at the microscopic aperture.

Muramatsu et al. does not disclose an angle. However, there has to be a pre-selected angle in order to have the light propagate correctly through the aperture.

5. Claims 38 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muramatsu et al. in view of Niwa.

Regarding claim 38, Muramatsu et al. disclose a reflecting member disposed on the second section of the waveguide. What is not disclosed

Art Unit: 2856

is a reflecting film disposed on the first section of the waveguide. However, Niwa discloses a reflecting film disposed on the first section of the waveguide. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Muramatsu et al. to include a reflecting film disposed on the first section of the waveguide since they are from the same field of endeavor.

Regarding claim 39, Muramatsu et al. disclose a reflecting member and a reflecting film. To make the reflecting member part of the reflecting film would have been well within the purview of the skilled artisan since this modification would cause the system to have fewer components.

#### Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final

Art Unit: 2856

action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre' K. Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 305-1522. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 7AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on (703) 305-4705. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Art Unit: 2856

A.J. (2003) May 16, 2003

HEZRON WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800